

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/766,684	01/28/2004	Nabil L. Muhanna	126013-1003	2069
7590 03/29/2006			EXAMINER	
STEVEN E. ROSS, IP SECTION			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
KENNETH T. EMANUELSON GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1601 ELM STREET, SUITE 3000 DALLAS, TX 75201			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/766,684	MUHANNA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce E. Snow	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be twill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	l.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National Stage
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the defined copies not recent	rou.
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1 – figure 3

Species 2 – figure 8

Species 3 – figure 9

Species 4 – figure 10

Species 5 – figure 11

Species 6 – figure 12

The species are independent or distinct containing patentably distinct elements requiring varying search strategies and considerations.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Monique Vander Molen on 3/27/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 3738

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes